

#### Purpose

- To explore biracial students' collegiate interactions and perceptions of the campus environment
- To build on a previous study with biracial students
- Biracial students with White heritage were less engaged than their monoracial peers and less engaged than biracial peers who did not identify with White heritage
- To disrupt the notion that race exists in uniform categories

### Research Questions

- 1. How do the amount of engagement in important forms of collegiate interaction and students' perceptions of the campus environment differ by race among biracial students with White racial heritage?
- 2. How do important forms of collegiate interaction relate to perceptions of the campus environment for biracial students with White racial heritage?
- 3. How do the relationships between important forms of collegiate interaction and perceptions of the campus environment vary among biracial students with White heritage?

# Literature

- College impact is influenced by interactions with others on campus and perceptions of the campus environment
  - This varies by students' racial identities, BUT most of this literature focuses on monoracial students
- Nelson Laird & Niskodé-Dosset (2010) found that multiracial students had less positive views of collegiate relationships, influencing their perceptions of campus support
- Most research on multiracial students focuses on identity development, less so on their engagement or perceptions of their college environment
- Multiracial students with White heritage may hold some privilege, but also carry a degree of stigma

## Framework

- Critical multiracial theory (MultiCrit), a theoretical addition of critical race theory (CRT)
  - MultiCrit builds on intersectionality, focusing on multiracial peoples' intersecting and multiple racial heritages. The 'mix' matters.
  - Race is socially constructed in rigid monoracial categories, complicating understandings of race outside of a monoracial-only paradigm which leads to multiracial people often being overlooked
- This theory encourages us to focus on how multiracial students experience college differently than their monoracial peers and that they are not, themselves, a monolithic group

### Data

- 2013-2016 administrations of the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) at 1,229 institutions
- NSSE measures the time and effort that undergraduate first-years and seniors at four-year colleges and universities invest in activities that relate to student learning and development
- Specifically for this study
  - Collaborative Learning
  - Discussions with Diverse Others
     Student-Faculty Interaction
  - Quality of Interactions
  - Supportive Environment

#### Respondents

- To be included in the study, a student had to
  - Answer the question about racial or ethnic identification
  - Select White and only one of the other racial/ethnic identity options, not including I prefer not to respond
- ~15,600 first years and ~17,700 seniors
  - 41% Hispanic or Latino and White (Latinx)
  - 19% Asian and White (Asian)
  - 17% American Indian or Alaska Native and White (*Native*)
     12% Black or African American and White (*Black*)

  - 7% Other and White (Other)
  - 3% Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander and White (Pacific)

	Collaborative Learning	Discussions with Diverse Others	Student-Faculty Interaction	Quality of Interactions	Supportive Environment
Native/White			+	+	
Asian/White		-	-		
Black/White	-	+			+
Latinx/White		-			+
Pacific/White					
Other/White	+	+	+	-	-

 $_2$ /Ethnicity variables were effect coded so results should be in esults are significant, p < .05. Key: + Unstd B > 0, - Unst B < 0

### **Analyses**

- - Engagement (CL, DD, SF, QI, SE) = race/ethnicity + controls
- Perceptions (QI, SE) = Interactions (CL + DD + SF) + race/ethnicity + controls How do the relationships between important forms of collegiate interaction and perceptions of the campus environment vary among biracial students with White heritage?
  • Perceptions  $(QI, SE) = \frac{(CL, DD, SF)}{(CL, DD, SF)}$  + controls
- Standardized continuous measures, effect coded racial/ethnic identities
- Controls: class level, gender identity, athlete, fraternity/sorority, first-generation status, major field, enrollment status, transfer status, living situation, sexual orientation.

Quality of Interactions	Supportive Environment	
++	++	
+	+	
+++	+++	
	++	

results are significant p < .05. Key: + Unstd B > 0, ++ Unstd B > .1, +++ Unstd B > .2

- The addition of interaction items only resulted in a significant change in R $^2$  (p = .001) for participation in Collaborative Learning activities impacting Quality of Interactions
- The effect of Collaborative Learning activities was lower than average for Native/White students
- The effect of Collaborative Learning activities was higher than average for Black/White and Other/White students

#### Reinforcement:

- Student engagement and perceptions of collegiate environments are not consistent by racial/ethnic groups
- Multiracial students engage and perceive their collegiate environment differently than their monoracial peers
- Treating multiracial students as a single group likely masks important differences within the multiracial student population

## Needs further exploration

Other/White students: high on engagement, low on perceptions— who are these students?

#### **Implications**

- Stop treating multiracial students as a monolithic group
- Replicate this study for multiracial students with various racial heritages
- Be sensitive to areas of intersection with other aspects of identity
- Account for differences by institutional type and context
- Gather more information on the Other students
- Continue to improve survey measures capturing racial/ethnic/cultural identity (NSSE is testing different options in 2018)
- Qualitatively investigate HOW? and WHY?

